United States District Court Southern District of Texas

## **ENTERED**

September 30, 2023
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

MIRIAM EDWARDS, et al.,	§
Plaintiffs.	§ § 8
V.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:18-CV-04330
MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,	\$ \$ \$
Defendants.	§ §

## ORDER REJECTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

On October 19, 2021, all pretrial matters in this case were referred to United States Magistrate Judge Andrew M. Edison under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Dkt. 204. Judge Edison filed a Memorandum and Recommendation on September 11, 2023, recommending that the Section 14(a) Lead Plaintiff's Amended Motion for Class Certification, Appointment of Class Representatives, and Appointment of Class Counsel (Dkt. 303) be denied. Dkt. 387. On September 25, 2023, Plaintiff filed its Objections to Judge Edison's Memorandum and Recommendation. Dkt. 403.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge's] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection [has been] made." After conducting this de novo review, the Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." *Id.*; *see also* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3)

Case 4:18-cv-04330 Document 410 Filed on 09/29/23 in TXSD Page 2 of 2

("The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive

further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.").

Having carefully considered the Objections, the Memorandum and

Recommendation, the pleadings, and the record, the Court REJECTS Judge Edison's

Memorandum and Recommendation. Section 14(a) Lead Plaintiff's Amended Motion for

Class Certification, Appointment of Class Representatives, and Appointment of Class

Counsel (Dkt. 303) is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** to being reasserted with

briefing that focuses solely on the numerosity, commonality, typicality, and adequacy

requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and the predominance and

superiority requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3). The parties are

**ORDERED** to submit an agreed briefing schedule on or before October 6, 2023.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED and ENTERED this 29th day of September 2023.

GEORGE C. HANKS, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2